Compressed random and self-avoiding walks

Nicholas Beaton

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Saskatchewan

Eleventh Prairie Discrete Mathematics Workshop Banff International Research Station 7–9 August 2015

Collaborators Tony Guttmann, Iwan Jensen, Greg Lawler

Introduction

A self-avoiding walk (SAW) is a walk on a lattice which cannot revisit vertices.



For a given lattice, c_n is the number of *n*-step SAWs (up to translation). eg. square lattice:

$$c_0 = 1$$

 $c_1 = 4$
 $c_2 = 12$
 $c_3 = 36$
 $c_4 = 100, \dots$

For regular lattices in $d \ge 2$, no known expression for c_n . But we still know something!

For regular lattices in $d \ge 2$, no known expression for c_n . But we still know something!

Any SAW of length m + n can be split into two smaller SAWs, of lengths m and n. So

 $c_{m+n} \leq c_m c_n$.

So $\{c_n\}$ is a sub-multiplicative sequence. Then

$$\log c_{m+n} \leq \log c_m + \log c_m,$$

so $\{\log c_n\}$ is a sub-additive sequence. It follows that the limit

$$\log \mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log c_n$$

exists. log μ is called the connective constant of the lattice. Then

$$c_n \sim \theta_n \mu^n$$
,

where μ is called the growth constant (sometimes connective constant) and $\theta_n = e^{o(n)}$. By submultiplicativity, we know that $\theta_n \ge 1$. On the square lattice, the best estimate for μ is 2.63815853031.

On the square lattice, the best estimate for μ is 2.63815853031.

Subexponential factors unproven, but

Conjecture (Nienhuis 1982)

$$c_n \sim An^{\gamma-1}\mu^n$$

for A, μ , γ constant. A and μ are lattice-dependent, γ depends only on dimension. In two dimensions, $\gamma = 43/32$.

On the square lattice, the best estimate for μ is 2.63815853031.

Subexponential factors unproven, but

Conjecture (Nienhuis 1982)

$$c_n \sim An^{\gamma-1}\mu^n$$

for A, μ , γ constant. A and μ are lattice-dependent, γ depends only on dimension. In two dimensions, $\gamma = 43/32$.

In high dimensions, can do a bit better:

Theorem (Hara and Slade 1992)

On the hypercubic lattice in five or more dimensions,

$$c_n \sim A\mu^n$$
.

Also interested in the size and shape of SAWs. eg. let $\langle R_e^2 \rangle_n$ be the mean-squared end-to-end distance of SAWs of length n.

Conjecture (Nienhuis 1982; Lawler, Schramm and Werner 2004)

$$\langle R_e^2 \rangle_n \sim C n^{2\nu}$$

with C lattice-dependent and ν dimension-dependent. In two dimensions, $\nu = 3/4$.

The exponents γ and ν are also connected to the scaling limit of SAWs:

Conjecture (Lawler, Schramm and Werner 2004)

Self-avoiding walks have a conformally invariant scaling limit, namely $SLE_{8/3}$.

Generating functions

The (ordinary) generating function for $\{c_n\}$ is

$$C(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}c_nz^n$$

Then $z_c = 1/\mu$ is the radius of convergence of C(z). In general, expect the behaviour near z_c to be

$$C(z) \sim {
m const.} (1-z/z_c)^{-\gamma},$$

which leads to $c_n \sim A n^{\gamma-1} \mu^n$.

Generating functions

The (ordinary) generating function for $\{c_n\}$ is

$$C(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}c_nz^n$$

Then $z_c = 1/\mu$ is the radius of convergence of C(z). In general, expect the behaviour near z_c to be

$$C(z) \sim \operatorname{const.}(1-z/z_c)^{-\gamma},$$

which leads to $c_n \sim A n^{\gamma-1} \mu^n$.

Because
$$c_n \geq \mu^n$$
, $C(z) \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu^n z^n = rac{1}{1-z\mu}$ So

$$\mathcal{C}(z) o \infty$$
 as $z o z_c^-$.

Generating functions

The (ordinary) generating function for $\{c_n\}$ is

$$C(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}c_nz^n$$

Then $z_c = 1/\mu$ is the radius of convergence of C(z). In general, expect the behaviour near z_c to be

$$\mathcal{C}(z)\sim ext{const.}(1-z/z_c)^{-\gamma},$$

which leads to $c_n \sim A n^{\gamma-1} \mu^n$.

Because
$$c_n \geq \mu^n$$
, $C(z) \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu^n z^n = rac{1}{1-z\mu}$

So

F

$$C(z) \to \infty$$
 as $z \to z_c^-$.

Expect that C(z) is non-D-finite, i.e. does not satisfy a linear ODE with polynomial coefficients.

Polymer models

SAWs are an important model in statistical mechanics of linear polymers in a solvent: chains of monomers, connected by bonds of fixed length and at fixed angles.

Unlike random walks (another, simpler model), SAWs encapsulate the excluded volume principle: two different monomers can't occupy the same point in space.

Monomers in a polymer can interact with each other, other polymers, surfaces (both penetrable and impenetrable) or with other external agents. Usually, these interactions are either attractive or repulsive.

Can also model forces applied to the polymer at various points/directions.

Compressive force

The model we are interested in here is a polymer between two impenetrable plates, being compressed together.



Compressive force

The model we are interested in here is a polymer between two impenetrable plates, being compressed together.



Take walks in the upper half-plane, starting at the origin. For a walk γ which reaches maximum height $h(\gamma)$ above the surface, associate a Boltzmann weight $e^{-f \cdot h(\gamma)}$.

Compressive force

The model we are interested in here is a polymer between two impenetrable plates, being compressed together.



Take walks in the upper half-plane, starting at the origin. For a walk γ which reaches maximum height $h(\gamma)$ above the surface, associate a Boltzmann weight $e^{-f \cdot h(\gamma)}$.

So the walk above receives weight e^{-3f} .

The partition function of walks of length n is then

$$Z_n(f) = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} e^{-f \cdot h(\gamma)}$$

and the free energy is

$$\lambda(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n(f)$$

which can be shown to exist for all f.

The partition function of walks of length n is then

$$Z_n(f) = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} e^{-f \cdot h(\gamma)}$$

and the free energy is

$$\lambda(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n(f)$$

which can be shown to exist for all f.

f > 0 represents a force pushing down towards the surface. f < 0 represents a force pulling away from the surface. When f is large and positive, walks with small $h(\gamma)$ dominate the partition function. When f is large and negative, walks with large $h(\gamma)$ dominate.

 $\lambda(f)$ is a continuous, convex function of f, and is almost-everywhere differentiable.

It has been proven [NRB 2015] that $\lambda(f)$ has a point of non-analyticity at f = 0: it is strictly decreasing for f < 0, but $\lambda(f) = \log \mu$ for $f \ge 0$:



 $\lambda(f)$ is a continuous, convex function of f, and is almost-everywhere differentiable.

It has been proven [NRB 2015] that $\lambda(f)$ has a point of non-analyticity at f = 0: it is strictly decreasing for f < 0, but $\lambda(f) = \log \mu$ for $f \ge 0$:



This indicates a phase transition at f = 0.

Might expect that as $f \to \infty$, only the walks which stick to the surface "survive", which would mean $\lambda(f) \to 0$. So what's going on?

Might expect that as $f \to \infty$, only the walks which stick to the surface "survive", which would mean $\lambda(f) \to 0$. So what's going on?

 $\lambda(f)$ only captures the exponential behaviour of $Z_n(f)$. ie.

 $Z_n(f) \sim \theta_n(f) \exp\{\lambda(f) \cdot n\}$

Might expect that as $f \to \infty$, only the walks which stick to the surface "survive", which would mean $\lambda(f) \to 0$. So what's going on?

 $\lambda(f)$ only captures the exponential behaviour of $Z_n(f)$. ie.

 $Z_n(f) \sim \theta_n(f) \exp\{\lambda(f) \cdot n\}$

It is the subexponential term $\theta_n(f)$ where the interesting stuff happens!

Might expect that as $f \to \infty$, only the walks which stick to the surface "survive", which would mean $\lambda(f) \to 0$. So what's going on?

 $\lambda(f)$ only captures the exponential behaviour of $Z_n(f)$. ie.

 $Z_n(f) \sim \theta_n(f) \exp\{\lambda(f) \cdot n\}$

It is the subexponential term $\theta_n(f)$ where the interesting stuff happens!

Note:

Conjecture

$$Z_n(0) \sim C n^{-3/64} \mu^n$$

for a constant C.

Might expect that as $f \to \infty$, only the walks which stick to the surface "survive", which would mean $\lambda(f) \to 0$. So what's going on?

 $\lambda(f)$ only captures the exponential behaviour of $Z_n(f)$. ie.

 $Z_n(f) \sim \theta_n(f) \exp\{\lambda(f) \cdot n\}$

It is the subexponential term $\theta_n(f)$ where the interesting stuff happens!

Note:

Conjecture

$$Z_n(0) \sim C n^{-3/64} \mu^n$$

for a constant C.

For f < 0, expect that $\theta_n(f)$ does not depend on n.

Figuring out what $\theta_n(f)$ looks like is hard – uses lots of SLE, probability, etc...

Figuring out what $\theta_n(f)$ looks like is hard – uses lots of SLE, probability, etc...

But we can instead look at random (not self-avoiding) walks, and get a similar result!

Figuring out what $\theta_n(f)$ looks like is hard – uses lots of SLE, probability, etc...

But we can instead look at random (not self-avoiding) walks, and get a similar result!

Now have partition functions $R_n(f)$, summed over all random walks of length n. Then the free energy $\rho(f)$ looks similar to $\lambda(f)$, but with $\log \mu$ replaced by $\log 4$. There is still a phase transition at f = 0.

Figuring out what $\theta_n(f)$ looks like is hard – uses lots of SLE, probability, etc...

But we can instead look at random (not self-avoiding) walks, and get a similar result!

Now have partition functions $R_n(f)$, summed over all random walks of length n. Then the free energy $\rho(f)$ looks similar to $\lambda(f)$, but with $\log \mu$ replaced by $\log 4$. There is still a phase transition at f = 0.

Easy to show

Theorem

$$R_n(0)\sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}n^{-1/2}4^n$$

In a horizontal strip of height h - 2, let $c_n(h, r, s)$ be the number of *n*-step walks which start at height *r* and end at height *s*, with $0 \le r, s \le h - 2$. Then, because random walks are Markovian, $c_n(h, r, s) = K_h^n(r, s)$, where K_h is the $(h - 1) \times (h - 1)$ symmetric matrix with

$$K_h(r,r) = 2, \qquad K_h(r,r\pm 1) = 1.$$

In a horizontal strip of height h - 2, let $c_n(h, r, s)$ be the number of *n*-step walks which start at height *r* and end at height *s*, with $0 \le r, s \le h - 2$. Then, because random walks are Markovian, $c_n(h, r, s) = K_h^n(r, s)$, where K_h is the $(h - 1) \times (h - 1)$ symmetric matrix with

$$K_h(r,r) = 2,$$
 $K_h(r,r\pm 1) = 1.$

Let $J_h = \frac{1}{4}K_h$. J_h is tridiagonal and Toeplitz, so it is (with a change of variables) the Jacobi matrix for Chebyshev polynomials.

Lemma

$$\det(J_h - \lambda I) = 4^{-h+1}U_{h-1}(1-2\lambda),$$

where $U_i(x)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind:

$$egin{aligned} &U_0(x) = 1 \ &U_1(x) = 2x \ &U_{i+1}(x) = 2xU_i(x) - U_{i-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

In a horizontal strip of height h - 2, let $c_n(h, r, s)$ be the number of *n*-step walks which start at height *r* and end at height *s*, with $0 \le r, s \le h - 2$. Then, because random walks are Markovian, $c_n(h, r, s) = K_h^n(r, s)$, where K_h is the $(h - 1) \times (h - 1)$ symmetric matrix with

$$K_h(r,r) = 2,$$
 $K_h(r,r\pm 1) = 1.$

Let $J_h = \frac{1}{4}K_h$. J_h is tridiagonal and Toeplitz, so it is (with a change of variables) the Jacobi matrix for Chebyshev polynomials.

Lemma

$$\det(J_h - \lambda I) = 4^{-h+1}U_{h-1}(1-2\lambda),$$

where $U_i(x)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind:

$$egin{aligned} &U_0(x) = 1 \ &U_1(x) = 2x \ &U_{i+1}(x) = 2xU_i(x) - U_{i-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

The roots of $U_i(x)$ are well known:

$$x_k = \cos\left(rac{k\pi}{i+1}
ight)$$
 for $k = 1, \dots, i$.

$$J_h \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

with

$$\lambda_j = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{h}\right)$$
 and $\mathbf{v}_j = \left\{\sin\left(\frac{j(k+1)\pi}{h}\right)\right\}_{k=0,\dots,h-2}$

$$J_h \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

with

$$\lambda_j = rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{2}\cos\left(rac{j\pi}{h}
ight) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \mathbf{v}_j = \left\{\sin\left(rac{j(k+1)\pi}{h}
ight)
ight\}_{k=0,\dots,h-2}$$

Eigendecomposition:

$$J_h^n(r,s) = \frac{2}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{h}\right)\right]^n \sin\left(\frac{j(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$

$$J_h \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

with

$$\lambda_j = rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{2}\cos\left(rac{j\pi}{h}
ight) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \mathbf{v}_j = \left\{\sin\left(rac{j(k+1)\pi}{h}
ight)
ight\}_{k=0,\dots,h-2}$$

Eigendecomposition:

$$J_h^n(r,s) = \frac{2}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{h}\right)\right]^n \sin\left(\frac{j(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$

If $n,h
ightarrow\infty$ with $h^2\ll n,$ then j=1 term dominates, so

$$J_h^n(r,s) \sim \frac{2}{h} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{h}\right) \right]^n \sin\left(\frac{(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$
$$\sim \frac{2}{h} \exp\left\{ -\frac{n\pi^2}{4h^2} \right\} \sin\left(\frac{(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$

$$J_h \mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

with

$$\lambda_j = rac{1}{2} + rac{1}{2}\cos\left(rac{j\pi}{h}
ight) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \mathbf{v}_j = \left\{\sin\left(rac{j(k+1)\pi}{h}
ight)
ight\}_{k=0,\dots,h-2}$$

Eigendecomposition:

$$J_h^n(r,s) = \frac{2}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} \sin\left(\frac{j(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{h}\right)\right]^n \sin\left(\frac{j(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$

If $n,h
ightarrow\infty$ with $h^2\ll n,$ then j=1 term dominates, so

$$J_h^n(r,s) \sim \frac{2}{h} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{h}\right) \right]^n \sin\left(\frac{(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$
$$\sim \frac{2}{h} \exp\left\{ -\frac{n\pi^2}{4h^2} \right\} \sin\left(\frac{(r+1)\pi}{h}\right) \sin\left(\frac{(s+1)\pi}{h}\right)$$

In particular, we want walk to start at height 0, so

$$J_h^n(0,s) \sim rac{2\pi}{h^2} \exp\left\{-rac{n\pi^2}{4h^2}
ight\} \sin\left(rac{(s+1)\pi}{h}
ight)$$

$$F_n(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{h-2} J_h^n(0,s) \sim rac{4}{h} \exp\left\{-rac{n\pi^2}{4h^2}
ight\} \qquad {
m for} \ h^2 \ll n$$

$$F_n(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{h-2} J_h^n(0,s) \sim \frac{4}{h} \exp\left\{-\frac{n\pi^2}{4h^2}
ight\}$$
 for $h^2 \ll n$

Now $F_n(h)$ is the probability that a SRW in \mathbb{Z}^2 of length *n* has ordinate between 0 and h-2 for its entire length.

$$F_n(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{h-2} J_h^n(0,s) \sim rac{4}{h} \exp\left\{-rac{n\pi^2}{4h^2}
ight\} \qquad ext{for } h^2 \ll n$$

Now $F_n(h)$ is the probability that a SRW in \mathbb{Z}^2 of length *n* has ordinate between 0 and h-2 for its entire length.

So $F_n(h+2) - F_n(h+1)$ is the probability that a SRW has ordinate between 0 and h for its entire length and reaches h at some point.

$$F_n(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{h-2} J_h^n(0,s) \sim \frac{4}{h} \exp\left\{-\frac{n\pi^2}{4h^2}\right\}$$
 for $h^2 \ll n$

Now $F_n(h)$ is the probability that a SRW in \mathbb{Z}^2 of length *n* has ordinate between 0 and h-2 for its entire length.

So $F_n(h+2) - F_n(h+1)$ is the probability that a SRW has ordinate between 0 and h for its entire length and reaches h at some point.

So the partition function $R_n(f)$ is

$$R_n(f) = 4^n \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{-fh} \left[F_n(h+2) - F_n(h+1) \right]$$

= $4^n e^{2f} (1 - e^{-f}) \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} e^{-fh} F_n(h)$
 $\sim 4^{n+1} e^{2f} (1 - e^{-f}) \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} e^{-fh} h^{-1} \exp\left\{ -\frac{n\pi^2}{4h^2} \right\}$

$$\sim 4^{n+1}e^{2f}(1-e^{-f})\int_0^\infty x^{-1}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{n\pi^2}{4x^2}+fx\right)\right\}dx$$

$$\sim 4^{n+1}e^{2f}(1-e^{-f})\int_0^\infty x^{-1}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{n\pi^2}{4x^2}+fx\right)\right\}dx$$

The asymptotics of this integral can be computed (with care). Get

Theorem

$$R_n(f) \sim A \cdot 4^n \cdot n^{-1/6} \cdot f^{-1/3} e^f (e^f - 1) \cdot \exp\left\{B \cdot n^{1/3} \cdot f^{2/3}
ight\} \qquad for \ f > 0$$

for known constants A and B.

$$\sim 4^{n+1}e^{2f}(1-e^{-f})\int_0^\infty x^{-1}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{n\pi^2}{4x^2}+fx\right)\right\}dx$$

The asymptotics of this integral can be computed (with care). Get

Theorem

$$R_n(f) \sim A \cdot 4^n \cdot n^{-1/6} \cdot f^{-1/3} e^f (e^f - 1) \cdot \exp\left\{B \cdot n^{1/3} \cdot f^{2/3}
ight\}$$
 for $f > 0$

for known constants A and B.

Exponential term is still 4^n with power-law correction $n^{-1/6}$, but now there is a "stretched exponential" term of the form $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/3}\}$.

$$\sim 4^{n+1}e^{2f}(1-e^{-f})\int_0^\infty x^{-1}\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{n\pi^2}{4x^2}+fx\right)\right\}dx$$

The asymptotics of this integral can be computed (with care). Get

Theorem

$$R_n(f) \sim A \cdot 4^n \cdot n^{-1/6} \cdot f^{-1/3} e^f (e^f - 1) \cdot \exp\left\{B \cdot n^{1/3} \cdot f^{2/3}
ight\}$$
 for $f > 0$

for known constants A and B.

Exponential term is still 4^n with power-law correction $n^{-1/6}$, but now there is a "stretched exponential" term of the form $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/3}\}$.

This matches series analysis.

Back to SAWS

Can use SLE to estimate the probability that a SAW stays in a strip of height h. Get a similar integral, and use the same method to compute the asymptotics.

Conjecture

$$Z_n(f) \sim A \cdot \mu^n \cdot n^{3/16} \cdot f^{5/16} \cdot \exp\left\{B \cdot n^{3/7} \cdot f^{4/7}
ight\} \qquad ext{for } f > 0$$

for constants A, B.

Back to SAWS

Can use SLE to estimate the probability that a SAW stays in a strip of height h. Get a similar integral, and use the same method to compute the asymptotics.

Conjecture

$$Z_n(f) \sim A \cdot \mu^n \cdot n^{3/16} \cdot f^{5/16} \cdot \exp\left\{B \cdot n^{3/7} \cdot f^{4/7}
ight\} \qquad ext{for } f > 0$$

for constants A, B.

Again, matches series analysis.

Unusual asymptotics

Similar results are conjectured for compressed self-avoiding bridges and polygons.

Similar results are conjectured for compressed self-avoiding bridges and polygons.

These asymptotics are unusual for models like this. Something similar is conjectured to occur in a model of polymer collapse, with a subexponential term like $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/2}\}$. [Owczarek, Prellberg & Brak 1993] Similar results are conjectured for compressed self-avoiding bridges and polygons.

These asymptotics are unusual for models like this. Something similar is conjectured to occur in a model of polymer collapse, with a subexponential term like $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/2}\}$. [Owczarek, Prellberg & Brak 1993]

A term like $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/2}\}$ has also now been observed in the asymptotics of 1324-avoiding permutations [Conway & Guttmann 2014].

Similar results are conjectured for compressed self-avoiding bridges and polygons.

These asymptotics are unusual for models like this. Something similar is conjectured to occur in a model of polymer collapse, with a subexponential term like $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/2}\}$. [Owczarek, Prellberg & Brak 1993]

A term like $\exp\{c \cdot n^{1/2}\}$ has also now been observed in the asymptotics of 1324-avoiding permutations [Conway & Guttmann 2014].

Unclear why such terms appear for some models but not others.

Reference:

NRB, A J Guttmann, I Jensen and G F Lawler, *Compressed self-avoiding walks, bridges and polygons*, submitted, preprint at arXiv:1506:00296

Reference: NRB, A J Guttmann, I Jensen and G F Lawler, *Compressed self-avoiding walks, bridges and polygons*, submitted, preprint at arXiv:1506:00296

Thank you!